

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/892,318 | Applicant(s) ABBURI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Eleni A. Shiferaw | Art Unit 2136 | |

All Participants:

(1) Eleni A. Shiferaw.

(2) Jerome G. Schaefer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Office Action mailed on 03/29/2006

Claims discussed:

1 and 2-12, 14-17, and 20-26

Prior art documents discussed:

20020046181 A1, 6418421 B1, and Barber et al. USPN 5,390,297


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: differences between the claimed limitations, argued subject matter in the appeal brief filed on 9/28/06 and summery of the invention described in the appeal brief, and amending the claims to overcome the applied references and/or prior art of record. Amending the abstract to comply with 608.01(b) [R-3].